

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATIO	N NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/075,52	28	02/13/2002	Seng Tan	1563 (WRIGHT)	8952	
30010	7590	06/16/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		CKSON, JR.	ZEMEL, IRI	ZEMEL, IRINA SOPJIA		
8652 RIO GRANDE ROAD RICHMOND, VA 23229				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·			1711		
				DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006	DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Off' A-4' O	10/075,528	TAN, SENG					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Irina S. Zemel	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Se	eptember 2005.						
	action is non-final.						
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-8,17 and 20-28</i> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-8 and 22-25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
<u> </u>							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17, 20, 21 and 26-28</u> is/are rejected.						
· <u> </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

ETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

Claims 17, 20-21, 26-28 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,555,589. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the reasons set forth in the Office Action dated 7-16-2004.

In the remarks filed by the applicants on 2-17-2005 the applicants state that the Obviousness type Double Patenting (ODP) rejection issued by the examiner in the Office Action dated 7-16-2004 was obviated by filing a Terminal disclaimed on April 16, 2004. However, upon careful inspection of the file, the examiner noted that the referenced Terminal Disclaimer is related to the US Patent 6,232,354, while the ODP rejection in the Office Action of 7-16-2004 was issued with respect to the claims of US Patent 6,555,589. There is no Terminal Disclaimed on the record with respect to the 6,555,589 patent. Thus, the ODP rejection of pending product claims over the claims of the referenced '589 patent is re-instated.

Furthermore, claims 17, 20-21, 26-28 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,555,590. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the reasons set forth for the claims of '589 patent in the Office Action dated 7-16-2004.

Art Unit: 1711

The applicants may overcome these rejections either by filing Terminal Disclaimers over the referenced patent or submitting persuasive arguments of non-obviousness of the pending claims over the claims of the referenced patents.

The product claims 17, 20-21, 26-28 as amended are allowable over the prior art of record.

The applicants should further note that the application contains process claims that have been restricted from the product claims in one of the previous office action. The process claims are NOT of the same scope as any of the product claims and, thus, will not be rejoined with the allowable product claims. Therefore, the applicants are advised to either cancel the process claims, or to amend the process claims so that they are completely commensurate in scope with at least one of the allowable product claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irina S. Zemel whose telephone number is (571)272-0577. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571)272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,528

Art Unit: 1711

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Irina S. Zemel Primary Examiner

ISZ